

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Brct. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,274	05/08/2001	Hiroshi Kubota	5576-125	3436
20792 75	20792 7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			lee, sin j	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
- 1	
7.4	ı
/// /	,

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/851,274	KUBOTA ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sin J. Lee	1752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Of     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time (b)    A proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on, but it doesn't be a proposed reply was received on	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expi	red on			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fine Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appe				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar	nce of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37).	equired by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the Notice of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on 2-25-05 and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.					
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:					
		•			
		•			
		Si J. Lu			
•		Sin J. Lee Primary Examiner			
		Art Unit: 1752			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)  Notic	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 05242005			